

Geographic Pay Parity: On Why It's Time for Your Organization to Finally Commit to a Meaningful Geographic Pay Differential Program

Geographic Pay Parity:

On why it's time for your organization to finally commit to a meaningful geographic pay differential program

P. man

· Library

Charles Sterling, MBA, PhD, CCP Senior Consultant NHRMA Conference | September 24, 2024



Insurance | Risk Management | Consulting

© 2024 ARTHUR J. GALLAGHER & CO

Google and the Backlash

Inc.

Why Google's Plan to Cut Remote Worker Pay Is a Bad Idea This could backfire

badly.



AUG 29, 2021

The Impact of Geographic Location on Pay

One of the Five Influences of Pay



The Big Five Influences on Pay Level 1. The *Function* of the Job



The Market values job *functions* differently – and pays accordingly

The Big Five Influences on Pay Level 2. The *Level* of the Job



Within a specific role, to what degree does the *level of* complexity of duties and impact of responsibilities vary?

The Big Five Influences on Pay Level 3. The *Industry* the company is in



The Big Five Influences on Pay Level 4. The *Size* of the company



The Big Five Influences on Pay Level 5. The *Geographic Location* of the job holder



Geographic Location is a Valid Pay Differentiator

There is ample evidence to indicate that pay levels differ by geographic location and that geography is a valid, legitimate and fair distinguisher of pay



Geographic Pay Parity A Professional Responsibility

Remunerative Responsibility:

Geographic pay parity policies discourage *underpaying* employees in high cost-of-wages areas a low cost-of-wages rate

Ex: Paying employees in Portland, OR at a national average rate

Geographic Pay Parity A Professional Responsibility (cont'd)

Fiduciary Responsibility:

Similarly, geographic pay parity policies discourage *overpaying* employees in low cost-of-wages areas a high cost-of-wages premium rate

Ex: Paying employees in Baker City, OR at a Portland, OR rate

Geographic Pay Parity A Professional Responsibility (cont'd)

Equity Responsibility:

Geographic pay parity policies lock in an equitable pay program where each employee is paid a fair rate of pay recognizing the market rate in the employee's geographic region

Ex: Paying employees in Portland, OR at a rate aligned with the Portland, OR labor market

Developing & Administering a Geographic Pay Parity Program: 6 Steps to Success







© 2024 ARTHUR J. GALLAGHER & CO.

Developing & Administering a Geographic Pay Parity Program: 6 Steps to Success (cont'd)

Determine the Differential for Each Worksite

4

6

What's your Administrative Philosophy?

Administrative Guidelines

1. Solidify Your Base Pay Program

- Pay Philosophy
 - If you don't have an explicit pay philosophy, write one
 - Make sure that alignment of pay with the local labor market is contained within your pay philosophy
- Competitive labor market analyses should be current, internal job alignment should be updated
- Base salary structure should be up-to-date and reflective of the current market; jobs should be mapped into the correct grades

2. Establish Your Worksites and Assign One Worksite as Your Base Site

- Where your employee spends the most time working is generally considered their primary worksite
 - > 3 days a week in the office? Then the office is their primary worksite.
 - 2 days a week in the office and 3 days at home office working remotely? Then home office is the primary worksite.
- Sales/client facing role, substantial time away from corporate home office and home remote office: Choose either corporate/regional office or home remote office

2. Establish Your Worksites and Assign One Worksite as Your Base Site

- Assign one worksite as your base: Generally, your corporate/primary regional headquarters
 - Oregon Health & Science University: Portland
 - Nike: Beaverton

3. Establish Your Geographic Differentials Database

Focus should be on Cost of *Labor*, not Cost of *Living*, differences



3. Establish Your Geographic Differentials Database (cont'd)

Some legitimate sources of Geographic Differentials include:

Economic Research Institute (ERI)	Contains data for over 6,000 national and international locations
Mercer	Contains data for more than 2,000 U.S. locations
Willis/Towers/Watson	Reports available for multiple U.S. and international locations
Aon/Radford	Geographic differential tool compares compensation differentials and talent availability around the world

4. Determine the Geographic Differential for Each Worksite



Six-Tier Structure (10.0%)



2

Six-Tier Structure (10.0%)

Developing the database: Building out the Structure, Differential, Range, Worksite and Actual Differential

Structure	Structure Differential	Range	Worksite	Actual Differential
Structure B	0.900	.850 => .900 => .949	John Day, OR	0.898
Structure B	0.900	.850 => .900 => .949	Madras, OR	0.908
Structure B	0.900	.850 => .900 => .949	Ashland, OR	0.911
Structure B	0.900	.850 => .900 => .949	Bend, OR	0.928
Structure B	0.900	.850 => .900 => .949	Tillamook, OR	0.933
Structure C	1.000	.950 => 1.000 => 1.049	Portland, OR	1.000
Structure C	1.000	.950 => 1.000 => 1.049	Vancouver, WA	1.001
Structure D	1.200	1.05 => 1.100 => 1.149	Seattle, WA	1.130
Structure E	1.200	1.150 => 1.200 => 1.249	Oakland, CA	1.192
Structure F	1.300	>/= 1.25	San Francisco, CA	1.265

Six-Tier Structure (10.0%)

Developing the database: Building out the Structure even more, adding in employee data including pay and compa-ratio information (both unadjusted and adjusted)

	Annual	Job Value,	Unadjusted		Actual		Structure	Adjusted Job	Adjusted
Worksite	Salary	Base Site	Compa-Ratio	Structure	Differential	Range	Differential	Value	Compa-Ratio
John Day, OR	\$105,000	\$108,000	0.97	Structure B	0.898	.850 => .900 => .949	0.900	\$97,200	1.08
Madras, OR	\$78,000	\$76,000	1.03	Structure B	0.908	.850 => .900 => .949	0.900	\$68,4 <mark>0</mark> 0	1.14
Ashland, OR	\$85,000	\$83,00	1.02	Structure B	0.911	.850 => .900 => .949	0.900	\$74,7 <mark>0</mark> 0	1.14
Bend, OR	\$62,000	\$68,00 <mark>)</mark>	0.91	Structure B	0.928	.850 => .900 => .949	0.900	\$61,2 <mark>00</mark>	1.01
Tillamook, OR	\$89,000	\$92,00 <mark>0</mark>	0.97	Structure B	0.933	.850 => .900 => .949	0.900	\$82,8 <mark>0</mark> 0	1.07
Portland, OR	\$105,000	\$107,00	0.98	Structure C	1.000	.950 => 1.000 => 1.049	1.000	\$107,000	0.98
Vancouver, WA	\$108,000	\$110,000	0.98	Structure C	1.001	.950 => 1.000 => 1.049	1.000	\$110,000	0.98
Seattle, WA	\$138,000	\$132,000	1.05	Structure D	1.130	1.05 => 1.100 => 1.149	1.200	\$158,40	0.87
Oakland, CA	\$147,000	\$145,000	1.01	Structure E	1.192	1.150 => 1.200 => 1.249	1.200	\$174,000	0.84
San Francisco, CA	\$150,000	\$162,000	0.93	Structure F	1.265	>/= 1.25	1.300	\$210,600	0.71
	Worksite John Day, OR Madras, OR Ashland, OR Bend, OR Tillamook, OR Portland, OR Vancouver, WA Seattle, WA Oakland, CA San Francisco, CA	Annual WorksiteAnnual SalaryJohn Day, OR\$105,000Madras, OR\$78,000Ashland, OR\$85,000Bend, OR\$62,000Tillamook, OR\$89,000Portland, OR\$105,000Vancouver, WA\$108,000Seattle, WA\$138,000Oakland, CA\$147,000San Francisco, CA\$150,000	Annual Job Value, Base Site Worksite Salary Base Site John Day, OR \$105,000 \$108,000 Madras, OR \$78,000 \$76,000 Ashland, OR \$85,000 \$83,000 Bend, OR \$62,000 \$68,000 Tillamook, OR \$89,000 \$92,000 Portland, OR \$105,000 \$107,000 Vancouver, WA \$108,000 \$110,000 Seattle, WA \$138,000 \$132,000 Oakland, CA \$147,000 \$145,000	Annual WorksiteJob Value, SalaryJnadjustex Compa-RatioJohn Day, OR\$105,000\$108,0000.97Madras, OR\$78,000\$76,0001.03Ashland, OR\$85,000\$83,0001.02Bend, OR\$62,000\$68,0000.91Tillamook, OR\$89,000\$92,0000.97Portland, OR\$105,000\$107,0000.98Vancouver, WA\$108,000\$110,0000.98Seattle, WA\$138,000\$132,0001.05Oakland, CA\$147,000\$145,0001.01San Francisco, CA\$150,000\$162,0000.93	Annual WorksiteJob Value, Base SiteInadjustetJohn Day, OR\$105,000\$108,0000.97Structure BJohn Day, OR\$105,000\$108,0000.97Structure BMadras, OR\$78,000\$76,0001.03Structure BAshland, OR\$85,000\$83,0001.02Structure BBend, OR\$62,000\$68,0000.91Structure BTillamook, OR\$89,000\$92,0000.97Structure BPortland, OR\$105,000\$107,0000.98Structure CVancouver, WA\$108,000\$110,0000.98Structure DOakland, CA\$147,000\$145,0001.01Structure ESan Francisco, CA\$150,000\$162,0000.93Structure F	Annual WorksiteJob Value, Base SiteJonadjustetActual DifferentialJohn Day, OR\$105,000\$108,0000.97Structure B0.898Madras, OR\$78,000\$76,0001.03Structure B0.908Ashland, OR\$85,000\$83,0001.02Structure B0.911Bend, OR\$62,000\$68,0000.91Structure B0.928Tillamook, OR\$89,000\$92,0000.97structure B0.933Portland, OR\$105,000\$107,0000.98Structure C1.000Vancouver, WA\$108,000\$110,0000.98Structure C1.001Seattle, WA\$138,000\$145,0001.01Structure E1.192San Francisco, CA\$150,000\$162,0000.93Structure F1.265	Annual Worksite Job Value, Salary Inadjustex Base Site Inadjustex Compa-Ratio Actual John Day, OR \$105,000 \$108,000 0.97 Structure Differential Range John Day, OR \$105,000 \$108,000 0.97 Structure B 0.898 .850 => .900 => .949 Madras, OR \$78,000 \$76,000 1.03 Structure B 0.908 .850 => .900 => .949 Ashland, OR \$85,000 \$83,000 1.02 Structure B 0.911 .850 => .900 => .949 Bend, OR \$62,000 \$68,000 0.91 Structure B 0.928 .850 => .900 => .949 Tillamook, OR \$89,000 \$92,000 0.97 structure B 0.928 .850 => .900 => .949 Portland, OR \$105,000 \$107,000 0.98 structure C 1.000 .950 => 1.000 => 1.049 Vancouver, WA \$108,000 \$110,000 0.98 Structure C 1.001 .950 => 1.000 => 1.049 Seattle, WA \$138,000 \$132,000 1.05 Structure D 1.130	Annual WorksiteJob Value, Base Site/nadjustetActualStructure DifferentialStructure RangeStructure DifferentialJohn Day, OR\$105,000\$108,0000.97Structure B0.898.850 => .900 => .9490.900Madras, OR\$78,000\$76,0001.03Structure B0.908.850 => .900 => .9490.900Ashland, OR\$85,000\$76,0001.02Structure B0.911.850 => .900 => .9490.900Bend, OR\$62,000\$68,0000.91Structure B0.928.850 => .900 => .9490.900Tillamook, OR\$89,000\$92,0000.97Structure B0.928.850 => .900 => .9490.900Portland, OR\$105,000\$107,0000.98Structure C1.000.950 => 1.000 => 1.0491.000Vancouver, WA\$108,000\$110,0000.98Structure D1.1301.05 => 1.100 => 1.1491.200Oakland, CA\$147,000\$145,0001.01Structure E1.1921.150 => 1.200 => 1.2491.200San Francisco, CA\$150,000\$162,0000.93Structure F1.265>/= 1.251.300	Annual WorksiteJob Value, Base SiteInadjustel: Compa-RatioActualRangeStructure DifferentialAdjusted Job ValueJohn Day, OR\$105,000\$108,0000.97Structure B0.898.850 => .900 => .9490.900\$97,200Madras, OR\$78,000\$76,0001.03Structure B0.908.850 => .900 => .9490.900\$68,410Ashland, OR\$85,000\$83,0001.02Structure B0.911.850 => .900 => .9490.900\$68,410Bend, OR\$62,000\$68,0000.91Structure B0.928.850 => .900 => .9490.900\$61,2 00Tillamook, OR\$89,000\$92,0000.977Structure B0.928.850 => .900 => .9490.900\$61,2 00Portland, OR\$105,000\$107,0000.977Structure B0.933.850 => .900 => .9490.900\$82,8 00Portland, OR\$105,000\$107,0000.977Structure C1.000.950 => 1.000 => .9490.900\$82,8 00Vancouver, WA\$108,000\$110,0000.98Structure C1.001.950 => 1.000 => 1.0491.000\$110,000Seattle, WA\$138,000\$1132,0001.05Structure D1.1301.05 => 1.100 => 1.1491.200\$158,400Oakland, CA\$147,000\$145,0001.01Structure E1.1921.150 => 1.200 => 1.2491.200\$174,000San Francisco, CA\$150,000\$162,0000.93Structure F1.265>/= 1.251



Six-Tier Structure (10.0%)

Import your data into Google Maps to create a visual tool for administering your Geographic Pay Parity program

Structure Philosophy: No Tiers

(one differential per site)

Worksite	Actual Differential
John Day, OR	0.898
Madras, OR	0.908
Ashland, OR	0.911
Bend, OR	0.928
Tillamook, OR	0.933
Portland, OR	1.000
Vancouver, WA	1.001
Seattle, WA	1.130
Oakland, CA	1.192
San Francisco, CA	1.265

Structure Philosophy: No Tiers

(one differential per site)

Developing the database: Building out the Structure even more, adding in employee data including pay and compa-ratio information (both unadjusted and adjusted)

	Annual	Job Value,	Unadjusted		Actual		Structure	Adjusted Job	Adjusted
Worksite	Salary	Base Site	Compa-Ratio	Structure	Differential	Range	Differential	Value	Compa-Ratio
John Day, OR	\$105,000	\$108,000	0.97	NA	0.898	NA	0.898	\$96,984	1.08
Madras, OR	\$78,000	\$76,000	1.03	NA	0.908	NA	0.908	\$69,008	1.13
Ashland, OR	\$85,000	\$83,00 <mark>)</mark>	1.02	NA	0.911	NA	0.911	\$75,6 <mark>1</mark> 3	1.12
Bend, OR	\$62,000	\$68,00 <mark>0</mark>	0.91	NA	0.928	NA	0.928	\$63,1 <mark>0</mark> 4	0.98
Tillamook, OR	\$89,000	\$92,00 <mark>0</mark>	0.97	NA	0.933	NA	0.933	\$85,8 <mark>3</mark> 6	1.04
Portland, OR	\$105,000	\$107,00	0.98	NA	1.000	NA	1.000	\$107,000	0.98
Vancouver, WA	\$108,000	\$110,000	0.98	NA	1.001	NA	1.001	\$110,110	0.98
Seattle, WA	\$138,000	\$132,000	1.05	NA	1.130	NA	1.130	\$149,160	0.93
Oakland, CA	\$147,000	\$145,000	1.01	NA	1.192	NA	1.192	\$172,840	0.85
San Francisco, CA	\$150,000	\$162,000	0.93	NA	1.265	NA	1.265	\$204,930	0.73
	Worksite John Day, OR Madras, OR Ashland, OR Bend, OR Tillamook, OR Portland, OR Vancouver, WA Seattle, WA Oakland, CA San Francisco, CA	Annual WorksiteSalaryJohn Day, OR\$105,000Madras, OR\$78,000Ashland, OR\$85,000Bend, OR\$62,000Tillamook, OR\$89,000Portland, OR\$105,000Vancouver, WA\$108,000Seattle, WA\$138,000Oakland, CA\$147,000San Francisco, CA\$150,000	Annual Job Value, Base Site Worksite Salary Base Site John Day, OR \$105,000 \$108,000 Madras, OR \$78,000 \$76,000 Ashland, OR \$85,000 \$83,000 Bend, OR \$62,000 \$68,000 Tillamook, OR \$89,000 \$92,000 Portland, OR \$105,000 \$110,000 Seattle, WA \$138,000 \$132,000 Oakland, CA \$147,000 \$145,000 San Francisco, CA \$150,000 \$162,000	Annual WorksiteJob Value, SalaryUnadjusted Compa-RatioJohn Day, OR\$105,000\$108,0000.97Madras, OR\$78,000\$76,0001.03Ashland, OR\$85,000\$83,0001.02Bend, OR\$62,000\$68,0000.91Tillamook, OR\$89,000\$92,0000.97Portland, OR\$105,000\$107,0000.98Vancouver, WA\$108,000\$110,0000.98Seattle, WA\$138,000\$132,0001.05Oakland, CA\$147,000\$145,0001.01San Francisco, CA\$150,000\$162,0000.93	Annual Worksite Job Value, Salary Unadjusted Base Site Compa-Ratio Structure John Day, OR \$105,000 \$108,000 0.97 NA Madras, OR \$78,000 \$76,000 1.03 NA Ashland, OR \$85,000 \$83,000 1.02 NA Bend, OR \$62,000 \$68,000 0.91 NA Tillamook, OR \$89,000 \$92,000 0.97 NA Portland, OR \$105,000 \$107,000 0.98 NA Seattle, WA \$108,000 \$110,000 0.98 NA Oakland, CA \$147,000 \$145,000 1.01 NA San Francisco, CA \$150,000 \$162,000 0.93 NA	Annual Worksite Job Value, Salary Unadjusted Base Site Compa-Ratio Structure Differential John Day, OR \$105,000 \$108,000 0.97 NA 0.898 Madras, OR \$78,000 \$76,000 1.03 NA 0.908 Ashland, OR \$85,000 \$83,000 1.02 NA 0.911 Bend, OR \$62,000 \$68,000 0.91 NA 0.928 Tillamook, OR \$89,000 \$92,000 0.97 NA 0.933 Portland, OR \$105,000 \$107,000 0.98 NA 1.000 Vancouver, WA \$108,000 \$110,000 0.98 NA 1.001 Seattle, WA \$138,000 \$112,000 1.05 NA 1.130 Oakland, CA \$147,000 \$145,000 1.01 NA 1.265	Annual WorksiteJob Value, Base SiteUnadjusted Compa-RatioActualJohn Day, OR\$105,000\$108,0000.97NA0.898NAMadras, OR\$78,000\$108,0000.97NA0.908NAAshland, OR\$85,000\$83,0001.02NA0.911NABend, OR\$62,000\$68,0000.91NA0.928NATillamook, OR\$89,000\$92,0000.97NA0.933NAPortland, OR\$105,000\$110,0000.98NA1.000NASeattle, WA\$138,000\$112,0001.01NA1.130NAOakland, CA\$147,000\$145,0001.01NA1.122NASan Francisco, CA\$150,000\$162,0000.93NA1.265NA	Annual WorksiteJob Value, Base SiteUnadjusted Compa-RatioActualRangeDifferentialJohn Day, OR\$105,000\$108,0000.97NA0.898NA0.898Madras, OR\$78,000\$108,0000.97NA0.908NA0.908Ashland, OR\$85,000\$83,0001.02NA0.911NA0.911Bend, OR\$62,000\$68,0000.91NA0.928NA0.928Tillamook, OR\$89,000\$92,0000.97NA0.933NA0.933Portland, OR\$105,000\$107,0000.98NA1.000NA0.933Portland, OR\$108,000\$110,0000.98NA1.001NA1.001Seattle, WA\$138,000\$1132,0001.01NA1.130NA1.130Oakland, CA\$147,000\$145,0001.01NA1.265NA1.265	Annual WorksiteJob Value, Base SiteUnadjusted Compa-RatioActual DifferentialRangeStructure DifferentialAdjusted Job ValueJohn Day, OR\$105,000\$108,0000.97NA0.898NA0.898\$96,934Madras, OR\$78,000\$76,0001.03NA0.908NA0.908\$69,008Ashland, OR\$85,000\$83,0001.02NA0.911NA0.911\$75,613Bend, OR\$62,000\$68,0000.91NA0.928NA0.928\$63,104Tillamook, OR\$89,000\$92,0000.97NA0.933NA0.933\$85,836Portland, OR\$105,000\$107,0000.98NA1.000NA0.933\$85,836Portland, OR\$105,000\$110,0000.98NA1.001NA1.001\$110,110Seattle, WA\$138,000\$132,0001.05NA1.130NA1.130\$149,164Oakland, CA\$147,000\$145,0001.01NA1.192NA1.265\$204,930San Francisco, CA\$150,000\$162,0000.93NA1.265NA1.265\$204,930

Compa-Ratio Differences by Philosophy

6 Structure	No Structure			
Adjusted	Adjusted			
Compa-Ratio	Compa-Ratio			
1.08	1.08			
1.14	1.13			
1.14	1.12			
1.01	0.98			
1.07	1.04			
0.98	0.98			
0.98	0.98			
0.87	0.93			
0.84	0.85			
0.71	0.73			

- In the end, there tend to be minimal differences in adjusted compa-ratios between structures
- Determination of structure should primarily be based on administrative simplicity, optics and communication ease

6. Develop Administrative Guidelines

- Document the full Geographic Pay Parity process:
 - Pay Philosophy; emphasis on pay parity
 - Establishment and definition of worksites
 - Establishment of geographic differentials database
 - Assignment of geographic differentials to each worksite
 - Determination of your administrative philosophy for geographic differential structures

6. Develop Administrative Guidelines (cont'd)

- Identify movement scenarios and how they will be handled
 - Movement from high wage-cost areas to low wage-cost areas
 - Movement from low wage-cost areas to high wage-cost areas
 - Employee vs. corporate mandated movement
 - Enforcement of back-to-office scenarios
 - Pay particular attention to any scenario that would cause employee adjusted comparatios to increase
- Document when geographic differentials will be re-evaluated
- Document how unique scenarios will be reviewed, and by whom



Insurance | Risk Management | Consulting

Thank you!

Consulting and insurance brokerage services to be provided by Gallagher Benefit Services, Inc. and/or its affiliate Gallagher Benefit Services (Canada) Group Inc. Gallagher Benefit Services, Inc. is a licensed insurance agency that does business in California as "Gallagher Benefit Services of California Insurance Services" and in Massachusetts as "Gallagher Benefit Insurance Services." Neither Arthur J. Gallagher & Co., nor its affiliates provide accounting, legal or tax advice. Charles Sterling, PhD 415-608-4382 Charles_Sterling@ajg.com

2121 North California Boulevard Suite 350 Walnut Creek, CA 94596

© 2024 ARTHUR J. GALLAGHER & CO